(B)

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

W.P. NO. 4699/2004

PETITIONER : Prashant Kumar Shukla, aged about 30 years, S/o. Shri Kailash Prasad Shukla, Presently working as Steno to Superintendent of Police, South Bastar, District Dantewada Chhattisgarh, Permanent: R/o. Ward No.16 Sagar-Pesa, House No.65, Chhindwara, District Chhindwara, Madhya Pradesh

P.R. No. 4752/04
Presented by Shri Sunil Sahu
dated 07-12-04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Government of Chhattisgarh Department of Home Affairs, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2. Union of India, Through: The Secretary, Department of Personnel Training, Public Grievances and Administrative Reforms, 3rd Floor, Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market, New Delhi

3. State of Madhya Pradesh, Through: The Secretary Government of M.P. Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh

4. The Director General of Police, Government of Chhattisgarh, Police Head Quarter Raipur, Chhattisgarh

5. The Director General of Police, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Police Head Quarter, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh

RECEIVED COPY
7/12/04
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

Received
Shiv Yadav
7.12.04
Clerk to Shri
VINAY HARIT
Senior Central Government Standing Counsel
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

(2) (1)

6. The State Advisory Committee, Through: Its Chairman, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(22)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 4699/2004 **सन्**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

11-4-2005

On a mention being made, the case is taken up for hearing.

Mr. Sanjay Ku. Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No.1 and 4.

Mr. R.S. Baghel, Additional Central Govt. Standing Counsel for the Union of India/respondent No.2.

Heard.

The case of the petitioner is that before reorganisation of the State of Madhya Pradesh and coming into existence of the State of Chhattisgarh the petitioner was appointed as Stenographer in the Office of Superintendent of Police, Dantewada. Thereafter, after reorganisation of the State with effect from 1-11-2000, the petitioner being a resident of the State of Madhya Pradesh opted for allocation of his service to the State of Madhya Pradesh, but the same has not been considered.

Therefore, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 through respondents No.1 & 3 to which learned counsel appearing for respondents No.1, 4 & 2 have no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 through respondents No.1 & 3 within 15 days from today and on receipt of the representation by respondents No.1 & 3, the same shall be forwarded by them with their comments to respondent No.2 within three months from the date of receipt of the representation from the petitioner, in turn, respondent No.2 shall decide

XI-HC-22

(23)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.4699/ **सन्** 2004

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	the same within a period of another six months thereof, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.4993/2004 and I.A.No.9344/2004 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	

Soma

(पीछे देखिये)

12.4.05
20.4.05